Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/726,956	FANSLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen L. Pezzuto	1713
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>30</u>		
(1) <u>Helen L. Pezzuto</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kent S. Kokko</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 April 2004</u>	Time: <u>2:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The incorporation of the structural limitation of claim 6 into claim 1 so as to better define the present telechelic (co)polymer, as well as minor typo corrections.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
all &/	· .	
(Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate)		